# Convergence of multiple perspectives on achieving optimal early childhood environments for development and learning for children under 3

Magdalena Janus<sup>1</sup>, Linda Harrison<sup>2</sup>, Gerardine Mulhearn<sup>3</sup>, Jennifer Sumsion<sup>3</sup>, Sandie Wong<sup>4</sup>, Sharynne McLeod<sup>3</sup>, Sheena Elwick<sup>3</sup>, Laura McFarland<sup>3</sup>, Wendy Alexander<sup>3</sup>, & Kate Williams<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Offord Centre for Child Studies, McMaster University, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Macquarie University, Australia; <sup>3</sup>Charles Sturt University, Australia; <sup>4</sup>Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Background		
Existing measures of quality in early childhood education and care (ECE):	BUT	
Are research-based instruments used in sample studies that rely on highly trained 'reliable' observers (e.g., CLASS, ECERS/ITERS, SSTEW)	do not include the perceptions of educators, who know the children best and whose interactions with children are the key to achieving quality (Early et al., 2007; Mashburn et al., 2008)	
Are administrative tools used by government in populations that rely on systematic processes of external review (e.g., OFSTED, ACECQA, QRIS)	ratings are tied to administrative standards with little flexibility to accommodate or acknowledge cultural or philosophical differences in pedagogical practice (Fenech et al., 2012)	
Generate an overall rating of program quality at the level of a group or classroom of children, or the centre as a whole	assume that quality within a room or centre affects all children equally	
Separate ECE program quality from measures of children's learning and development	children's learning is holistic and occurs through interacting with and responding to the ECE program (i.e., its quality)	
Focus primarily on ECE programs for children aged 3 to 5 years	result in a lack of tools for supporting educators working with children under 3 years	
Aims		

## Aims

To develop a new instrument for educators working with children under 3 years of age to assess and reflect on the quality of children's experiences that:

- builds on existing instruments;
- complements the Early Years Learning Framework for Australia;
- acknowledges the unique experience of each child within the wider context of their learning space;
- identifies the unique contextual factors that support and/or limit the development, learning, and wellbeing of individual children;
- is acceptable to and sustainable within the early childhood sector.

To **co-produce** a systematic, robustly designed approach to **build educator capacity** to observe, document, reflect on, and improve the quality of learning and interaction that very young children experience in ECE settings.

• Co-designed with the ECE sector at both the inception stage and through the process of item development, review and testing.

## Context

## Internationally

Sustainable Development Goals

Goal 4. Target 4.1 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development, care, and pre-primary education so that they are ready for primary education

## Australia

Informed by **Australia's National Quality Framework for ECE** *Belonging, Being and Becoming: the Early Years Learning Framework* 

The instrument comprises 5 domains: Identity, belonging, sense of self, family and culture;
 Connectedness with others; Wellbeing; Constructing knowledge and understandings;
 Communication

National Quality Standards requirements for regular assessment of children's learning:

• The instrument is designed to provide a new way for educators to create meaningful documentation of the children's experiences encompassing both the process of learning (including joy, engagement and initiative) and the achievement of specific learning

## **Process**

## Delphi Panel of under-3s ECE stakeholders and experts

**2017 - Stage 1:** Face-to-face meeting with 28 participants from across Australia, including Practitioners working with children under 3

ECE service provider managers and practice leaders (not-for-profit organisations, Councilmanaged services)

Government policymakers

Under 3s consultants and research academics

Representatives from ECE organisations (Indigenous children's services, Family support)

**2018 - Stage 2:** Follow up online survey with 28 participants

## Responses from the ECE sector (Delphi workshop and online survey)

Endorsements of the purpose and need for the tool, its relevance for research, and potential value for improving educational practice to optimise children's learning and wellbeing.

"Having a tool specifically for these [very young] children may have side benefits in **increasing educators' perceptions of the competent** learner from birth, and in doing so also **change the way they understand their role**.

"The flow-on effects are immense because of the **ability to gather reliable data earlier than AEDC** (age 5) that reflects learning from birth-three. I'm very excited about this because it can feed into the National Framework to inform and improve practices across a range of sectors, including and beyond the EC sector."

"This tool has huge positive implications that will help all adults recognise and value very young children's learning and development."

## Outcome

## ORICL: Observing, Reflecting, and Improving Children's Learning

# DOMAIN 1: Identity, belonging, sense of self, family and culture

ID19 This child is confident in communicating his/her feelings and need for assistance to adults and or peers (e.g. gazes at educators, reaches out for objects, asks for assistance)

ID21 When this child communicates their feelings and/or need for assistance, educators respond sensitively and appropriately, affirming the child's feelings and supporting the child's agency by scaffolding rather than 'doing it for' the child.

## **DOMAIN 2. Connectedness with others**

CON03 This child approaches and joins in when a group of children is forming or interacting around a meal table, an educator or an activity (e.g., playful interactions at meal table, reading with educator, sand play, climbing, pretend play CON12 When this child is working together with

CON12 When this child is working together with educators in routine care activities, educators anticipate this child's uniqueness and individuality (e.g. match timing and actions to child's preferred way of doing things).

## DOMAIN 4: Constructing knowledge and understandings

CK01 This child's efforts to engage with their environment (e.g., toy, materials, activities, educators, peers) show involvement and enthusiasm (e.g. uses non-verbal and verbal behaviours to initiate their own participation in learning experiences, and asks for help when needed; shows a willingness to pursue their own ideas; takes responsibility for their own learning).

#### **DOMAIN 5: Communication**

CO01 When this child initiates communication with educators (e.g. looks into their eyes, points or gestures, vocalises or babbles or talks or uses signs, moves closer or reaches, shows object, directs expression of emotion), educators listen and respond in ways that acknowledge and encourage this child's efforts and ideas (e.g. repeat or extend child's words/thoughts back to child; describe child's actions in words).

## **DOMAIN 3A: Emotional Wellbeing**

EM17 This child's key triggers for becoming distressed (e.g. separation from parent, tiredness, change in routine) are well known and attended to by the <u>educators</u>.

## **3B: Physical Wellbeing**

PH12 When educators invite this child to join in or engage in active fine motor play (hand or finger movement), this child participates.

Next steps: P	hase 2 and	3 of im	plementing	the ORICL
reckt steps. I				

Phase 1: Co-	Community consultation
development of tool	Delphi process to develop and review prototype tool – ORICL
Phase 2: Testing and	Phase 2, stage 1: pilot-test with educators working in centre an
Validation phase	home-based ECE to further refine ORICL
	Phase 2, stage 2: Multi-site field test of ORICL in 3 states with

educators and parents

Phase 3: Development of Co-design of ORICL resource materials and professional development with collaborating industry partners and educators

## References

Early et al., (2007) Teachers' education, classroom quality, and young children's academic skills: results from seven studies of preschool programs. Child

Development 78(2): 558-80.
Fenech et al. (2012). A critical analysis of the 'national quality framework': Mobilising for a vision for children beyond minimum standards. Australasian Journal

Harly Childhood 37(4): 5-14.

Mashburn et al., (2008). Measures of classroom quality in prekindergarten and children's development of academic, language, and social skills. Child Development 79(3):732-49.









Queensland University of Technology

